Financial Advisory Roundtable (FAR)

November 22, 2013

Duration Risk Taking and Financial Stability

- 1. What types of institutions have the largest incentives for duration risk taking in the low interest rate environment?
 - a. Banks: duration risk versus net interest margin
 - b. Dealers: Volker rule exemption for Treasuries and agencies
 - c. Insurance companies: asset-liability management
 - d. Buyside: bond mutual funds, REITs, hedge funds, pension funds
 - e. Off-balance sheet exposures
 - f. Leveraged lending
 - g. Emerging market interest rate exposure
- 2. What are the amplification mechanisms in a rates selloff?
 - a. Duration risk appetite
 - b. Duration hedging
 - c. Fire sale externalities
 - d. Run risk
- 3. What are policy actions that can be taken to mitigate interest rate risk?
 - a. What are policy actions for specific institutions?
 - b. What are policy actions for specific amplification mechanisms?
- 4. How should stress test scenario design incorporate interest rate risk taking?
 - a. Can capital stress test effectively capture interest rate risks exposure?
 - b. How would the current stress test framework have to be expanded to capture rate risk?
 - c. What are reasonable magnitudes of interest rate moves?
 - d. What are capital versus liquidity implications?
 - e. What are interactions with other macroeconomic factors used in the scenarios?
- The bond market experienced a selloff since May 3 with the 10-year Treasury yield rising from 1.63 percent to 2.74 percent between May 2 and July 5. To date, the cumulative loss of holding a 10-year Treasury since May 3 amounts to 14%. Since 1961, the selloff ranks as the 10th worst.
 - a. Is the magnitude of the selloff surprising?
 - b. To what extent does the selloff reflect changes in the financial system?
 - c. To what extent does the selloff reflect macroeconomic developments?