





Market Failure and the Need for Regulation

Conference on Regulating Consumer Financial Products, January 6, 2010

Vicki Been, Boxer Family Professor of Law Faculty Director, Furman Center for Real Estate and Urban Policy

Theories of Market Failures Underlying the Mortgage Crisis

- In the underwriting/securitization of mortgages
- In consumers' understanding of or ability to choose between owning and renting, or between financial products
- In the investors'/servicers' decision to foreclose or modify
- In the regulation of mortgage products

Failures in Consumers' Understanding of, and Choices Between, Options/Products

- Overestimating the potential for house price appreciation/underestimating the risk of stagnation or depreciation
- Overestimating the potential for refinancing
- Underestimating the risk of interest rate increases
- Underestimating the risk of income shocks
- Underestimating the ability to secure credit on better terms
- Overestimating the benefits/underestimating the costs of homeownership

Furman Center/FRBNY "Pathways" Project using New York City Data Set

LoanPerformance mortgage data, merged with:

- Deeds records from NYC Dept. of Finance
- Property characteristics from tax assessment records
- Neighborhood characteristics from the Census
- Neighborhood foreclosure data
- Community District level repeat-sales house price indices
- HMDA
- Work by Sewin Chan, Mike Gedal and Vicki Been at NYU's Furman Center; Andy Haughwout at FRBNY

Purpose is to study the determinants of delinquency, foreclosure and aftermath

- Data allows us to jointly explore the role of:
 - Borrower characteristics
 - Loan characteristics
 - Property and neighborhood characteristics
- And to incorporate very local house price dynamics

But the work can tell us something about consumer choices

- First lien mortgages originated 2004-2008 in NYC, observed until December 2008
- Mortgages include both 30 year fixed rate mortgages and 2/28 and 3/27 adjustable rate mortgages
- Total of 1,236,262 monthly observations, representing 59,735 mortgages

Borrower and loan characteristics

	FRMs	ARMs
Default rate	15%	25%
Averages at origination:		
FICO credit score	667	621
Debt-to-income (DTI)	40%	42%
Combined LTV	70%	77%
Interest rate	6.9%	7.4%
Original loan amount	\$360,000	\$352,400
ARM margin		5.9%
Fraction >20% payment shock:		9.2%

Borrower race and gender

	FRMs ARMs		
Primary borrower:			
Female	39%	43%	
Hispanic white	11%	13%	
Black	30%	40%	
Asian	9%	8%	

Neighborhood characteristics

	FRMs	ARMs
Census tract averages:		
Median income	\$42,000	\$40,500
Residential units foreclosed	0.9%	1.0%
HMDA denials in past 6 months	55%	54%
Hispanic residents	8%	6%
Black residents	40%	50%
Asian residents	8%	6%

Empirical specification

- Cox proportional hazard model
- Dependent variable:
 hazard of becoming 90 days delinquent
- Explanatory variables:
 - borrower, loan, neighborhood characteristics
 - time fixed effects

Hazard model results: borrower and loan characteristics

We broadly confirm results from the literature that default increases with:

- higher current combined LTV
- lower credit scores
- higher DTI
- higher interest rate at origination
- higher loan amount
- higher ARM margin
- large ARM payment shocks

Borrowers may underestimate the risk of depreciation

- For FRMs, having a current LTV higher than 95 is associated with a default hazard that is 3 ½ times higher than FRMs with LTVs lower than 60
- For ARMs, the effect is more than twice as large

Borrowers may under-estimate the risk of interest rate shocks

- Even for hybrid ARMs with less than 20 % increase in payments, from 7 months after the initial adjustment, the default hazard is 50 % higher that before the adjustment
- For those with payment shocks greater than 30%, the default hazard is over twice as high 5 months post adjustment compared with before adjustment
- 3/27 ARMs have significantly lower default rates overall than do the 2/28 ARMs

Borrowers may under-estimate the costs of homeownership

- Home purchase loans have higher default rates than do refinances, perhaps because refinancers have longer housing tenure & are not 1st time borrowers
- Owner-occupiers have higher default rates for ARMs compared with investors (though owneroccupancy is self-reported and maybe unreliable)
- Single family homes have higher default rates than buildings with 5 or more units, including condos, perhaps because multi-unit buildings often provide additional financial controls on buyers

Behavioral law & economics must consider:

- Role of Race
- Role of Neighborhood

Race

- 40% of subprime ARM borrowers, and 30% of subprime FRM borrowers, are African American
 - Only 25% of population of NYC was African American in 2000
 - Only 13% of home purchase borrowers in NYC were African American in 2007
- Nationwide and in NYC, African Americans are more likely to have subprime loans
- Can behavioral law & economics explain those disparities?

Racial Disparities in High Cost Lending

Characteristics of HMDA Borrowers

National (2006)	White Borrowers	Black Borrowers	Hispanic Borrowers
% 1st Lien Home Purchase loans that are High Cost	17.7%	53.3%	46.2%
% Refinance loans that are High Cost	25.5%	51.7%	38.6%

New York City (2004-2007)	White Borrowers	Black Borrowers	Hispanic Borrowers
% 1st Lien Home Purchase loans that are High Cost	7.8%	40.8%	30.1%
% Refinance loans that are High Cost	17.5%	37.3%	29.5%

Neighborhood characteristics

The risk of default increases with:

- higher neighborhood foreclosure rates
- lower neighborhood median income
- higher percentage of black residents in the neighborhood

Can behavioral law & economics explain those effects?

Why is it important to regulate?

- Effects on families in delinquency and foreclosure
- Effects on lenders and their shareholders
- External effects:
 - Neighbors who suffer declines in the value of their homes
 - Neighbors who suffer declines in the quality of life because of vacancies & reductions in maintenance, which may increase crime, health threats such as rodents or mosquitoes, neighborhood social capital, and aesthetic affronts

The importance of regulation, continued

- Effects on children forced to move homes and perhaps schools in the middle of the school year, or in off-years
- Effects on their classmates, whose own education may be disrupted by classmates' mobility
- Effects on the tax revenues of local governments
- Effects on the expenditures local governments must make for policing, fire, maintenance

